Filed 08/16/2007

Document 1

007-480XCBA

24

25

26

27

28

D.

procedure?

explain why.

YES (x)

Case 4:07-cv-04204-SBA

If your answer is YES, list the appeal number and the date and result of the

appeal at each level of review. If you did not pursue a certain level of appeal,

1		1. Informal appeal BY PASSED
2		
3		
4		2. First formal level HAYEIAHY GYANTED
5		
6		Onl'all Condat
7 8		3. Second formal level PAYTIALLY GYANTES
9		
10		4. Third formal level DENIEd
11		Third former force Comments
12		
13	E.	Is the last level to which you appealed the highest level of appeal available to
14		you?
15		$YES () \qquad NO ()$
16	F.	If you did not present your claim for review through the grievance procedure,
17	explain why ANNEXE	A COPY of PLAINTIFF GOL GrIEAVENCE IS I hereto And the decision from Each level
18 19	PTINNEXEC	MERETO AND THE DECISION From EACH EVE
20	II. Parties	
21	A.	Write your name and your present address. Do the same for additional plaintiffs,
22		if any.
23	TOMAS	LOPEZ MENEWEATHER Bomas Louis Miner seather
24		0 11016
25		
26	В.	Write the full name of each defendant, his or her official position, and his or her
27	0/85	place of employment.
28	DIFOWELL	, D. FErry; T.G. Miller; S. BAILEY; A. MEYERS;
	COMPLAINT	-2-

COMPLAINT

1	indifference, plaintiff request money damages in the amount of Five hundred thousand dollars from Each
2	THE AMOUNT OF FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS from EACH
3	
4	
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
6	
7	Signed this 12 th day of 4 uly , 20 67
-8	
9	Tomas opper Meneweather
10	(Plaintiff's signature)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
	-
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
۵.0	
	COMPLAINT -4-

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION
INMATE APPEALS BRANCH
P. O. BOX 942883
SACRAMENTO, CA 94283-0001

DIRECTOR'S LEVEL APPEAL DECISION

Date: APR

APR 1 8 2007

In re:

Meneweather, D-35219 Salinas Valley State Prison P.O. Box 1020 Soledad, CA 93960-1020

IAB Case No.: 0611357

Local Log No.: SVSP 06-03893

This matter was reviewed on behalf of the Director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) by Appeals Examiner B. Sullivan, Staff Services Manager, I. All submitted documentation and supporting arguments of the parties have been considered.

I APPELLANT'S ARGUMENT: It is the appellant's position that he is permanently mobility impaired from a stroke on his right side in 2002. He says he is housed in the Enhanced Outpatient Program (EOP) Administrative Segregation Unit (ASU) at Salinas Valley State Prison (SVSP) and is confined to a wheelchair. He says SVSP has an Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) workers program for immates with disabilities whose services the appellant does not have access to because of his ASU housing. He says his cell has not been cleaned since his EOP ASU placement. He asks that his cell be cleaned and thereafter, cleaned on a regular basis. He also asks to be released from ASU and rehoused where he has access to the services of the ADA immate workers.

II SECOND LEVEL'S DECISION: The reviewer found that the appellant was interviewed on March 6, 2007, at the Second Level of Review as an interview had not taken place at the First Level of Review. The appellant was placed into ASU on January 6, 2007 due to his actions and the appellant is to remain in ASU pending completion of the disciplinary process. The Inmate Assistance Program is not available in the ASU due to security reasons. Reasonable accommodations have been made to assist the appellant with maintaining the cleanliness of the cell. In addition, correctional staff assigned to the appellant's building will clean the appellant's cell as needed. The appeal is granted in part at the Second Level of Review.

III DIRECTOR'S LEVEL DECISION: Appeal is denied.

A. FINDINGS: At the Director's Level of Review, the appellant says he has been rehoused in ASU since January 23, 2007. He says his cell has never been cleaned and that he has told staff that he needs help cleaning his cell. On April 16, 2007, the examiner spoke to V. Hernandez in the Appeals Coordinator's office a SVSP to inquire as to the appellant's cell concerns. Ms. Hernandez spoke to Correctional Officer Crawford who works in the control booth in the appellant's housing unit. CO Crawford stated that the appellant's cell was cleaned on April 15, 2007, and is continually cleaned every other day. The appellant is receiving reasonable accommodation for his mobility impairment at SVSP. It is noted the appellant receives the EOP level of care. As such he receives the most intense level of outpatient mental health care in the Mental Health Services Delivery System. The EOP is characterized by a separate housing unit and structured activities for mentally ill inmates who, because of their illness, experience adjustment difficulties in the general inmate population (GP) setting. The goal of the EOP is to provide focused evaluation and treatment of mental health conditions which are limiting an inmate's ability to adjust to a GP placement. The overall objective is to provide clinical intervention to return the individual to the lease restrictive clinical and custodial environment. After considering the evidence and arguments herein, it has been determined that staff acted appropriately on the appellant's request.

B. BASIS FOR THE DECISION:

Armstrong v. Davis Court Ordered Remedial Plan: ARPI, ARPII.A, ARPII.F, ARPIV.G, ARPIV.I.21 California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section: 3085, 3350, 3354

C. ORDER: No changes or modifications are required by the institution.

MENEWEATHER, D-35 CASE NO. 0611357 PAGE 2

This decision exhausts the administrative remedy available to the appellant within CDCR.

N. GRANNIS, Chief Inmate Appeals Branch

cc: Warden, SVSP

Health Care Manager, SVSP Appeals Coordinator, SVSP Medical Appeals Analyst, SVSP

REASONABLE MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUEST CDC 1824 (1/95)					
	REVIEWER'S ACTION				
TYPE OF ADA ISSUE	DATE ASSIGNED TO REVIEWER: / / / / / / / / / / / DATE DUE: / & 7				
PROGRAM, SERVICE, OR ACTIVITY ACC	ESS (Not requiring structural modification)				
Auxiliary Aid or Device Requested					
PHYSICAL ACCESS (requiring structural m	odification)				
DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS: ON 12- REJEASEN FROM AN ADA WORKE REASONS CUSTODY INMATES ARE CLEANLINES OF THIELE MINATION IS MADE MAINTAN THE CIEA STAFF WILL CLEA	ASU. ASU DOES NOT HAUS ASU. ASU DOES NOT HAUS C PROGRAM FOR SECURITY STAGN ARE RESPONSIBLE RESPONSIBLE TO MAINTAIN THE 2 ASSIGNED CELL. IF THE DRIVE THAT THE INMATE CANNOT IN THE CELL.				
DATE INMATE/PAROLEE WAS INTERVIEWED	PERSON WHO CONDUCTED INTERVIEW				
BASIS OF DECISION: /NMATE /N AS U. APPELLA EOP/GENERAL PEPUL	DENIED X PARTIALLY GRANTED 15 NO LONGER HOUSED 9NT HAS BEEN RCHOUSED IN ATION.				
NOTE: If disposition is based upon information proprovided. If the request is granted, specify the proceedings if appropriate. DISPOSITION RENDERED BY: (NAME)	ovided by other staff or other resources, specify the resource and the information ess by which the modification or accommodation will be provided, with time INSTITUTION/FACILITY ADDROVAN				
ASSOCIATE WARDEN'S SIGNATURE	DATE SIGNED				

DATE RETURNED TO INMATE/PAROLEE

Filed 08/16/2007 Page 9 of 14 Case 4:07-cv-04204-SBA Document 1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS Location: Institution/Parole Region Log No. INMATE/PAROLEE APPEAL FORM CDC 602 (12/87) 2. You may appeal any policy, action or decision which has a significant adverse affect upon you. With the exception of Serious CDC 115s, classification committee actions, and classification and staff representative decisions, you must first informally seek relief through discussion with the appropriate staff member, who will sign your form and state what action was taken. If you are not then satisfied, you may send your appeal with all the supporting documents and not more than one additional page of comments to the Appeals Coordinator within 15 days of the action taken. No reprisals will be taken for using the appeals procedure responsibly. UNIT/ROOM NUMBER Inmate/Parolee Signature Date Submitted: C. INFORMAL LEVEL (Date Received: Staff Response: ____ Staff Signature: Date Returned to Inmate: D. FORMAL LEVEL If you are dissatisfied, explain below, attach supporting documents (Completed CDC 115, Investigator's Report, Classification chrono, CDC 128, etc.) and submit to the Institution/Parole Region Appeals Coordinator for processing within 15 days of receipt of response.

Note: Property/Funds appeals must be accompanied by a completed

acceived w/o the 1824 attached. Board of Control form BC-1E, Inmate Claim

CDC Appeal Number:

Date Submitted: ____

		Due Date:
nterviewed by:		
		·
·		
Staff Signature:	Title:	Date Completed:
Division Head Approved:		Returned
Signature:	Title:	Date to Inmate:
. If dissatisfied, explain reasons for requ	uesting a Second-Level Review, and submit to Institutio	on or Parole Region Appeals Coordinator within 15 da
receipt of recogness	first level's responde of "it is	
7 13 UISSA+ISTIEA WITH	+ +ITST IEVELS YESPONGE OF IT IS	and the destination of the
all only After Adeterm	ination had been made that "5" C	OUID NOT CLEAN HIS ONLY CELL
th No indication of how	WONS It Would TAKE TO MAKE T	The determination This Con
isted from 7/18/66 until	12/21/66 OVER FIVE MONTHS! CLEA	radeliberate indifference "5"
plaint ANNEXECTE AND	MOSPERALENTN HILS 665	Date Submitted: 23/07
Ignature: The Manual	KD	
Second Level Granted	Granted Denied Dother	215107
G. REVIEWER'S ACTION (Complete with	hin 10 working days): Date assigned: 2 16	07 Due Date: 3/5/07
See Attached Letter		
	2 RANKAI	35-17
Signature: USS	100 percent	REI'N MAR 1 8 2111
Warden/Superintendent Signature:		Date Returned to Inmate:
1. If dissatisfied, add data or reasons	for requesting a Director's Level Review, and submit	t by mail to the third level within 15 days of rece
response.	with the and loved attempsible	AND VEQUESTS DIFECTOR'S LE
3 15 1155 AT 15 FIEW 11	1 . 2	
EVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWIN	I & YEASONS; ON 3/16/07 "S YEA	FINES SECOND EVELS YESPE
which was due on 110	5/07, "5" HAS BEEN VEHOUSE	4 IN Adjst6 Since 1/33/01
A TOTAL OF 52 days A	S of Today'S UATE 3/16/07. A	It first /EVE/SEARGEANH
CANCEDED THAT IT WAS	& housing staff's responsibil	1144 TO CLEAN "S" CELL AS
WEARNSTOLED by CER.	and level pirt epice barie	"S" OF I LEEN CLEANED
recorded by SECO	ong /Ever INVI BIGGE VIAVE	S CEIL DEEN GENTLES
Signature Northes And And	ment enthous	Date Submitted 2/16/6
For the Director's Review, submit all do	cuments to: Director of Corrections	
rot the phettor's neview, submit an do	P.O. Box 942883	
	Sacramento, CA 94283-0001	
	Attn: Chief, Inmate Appeals	
DIRECTOR'S ACTION: Granted	☐ P. Granted ☐ Denied ☐ Other ☐	
DIRECTOR'S ACTION: (1) DIRECTOR	H value H value H value -	
See Attached Letter	ı	

"Additional Sheet To 602" that "5" CELL BE CLEANED, They refused To do SO, AND SOME TIMES They bus look At"s" AND IGNOVE "S" THEN "S" PEQUESTS TO SEE THE SEARGEANT, IPULIFIC Their immediate Supervisor, They refused to Summon him And then threate TO FORCE "5" TO ACCEP A CElly Who Would PREY ON"5" disabilitiES by TAKING "5" PEPSONAL PROPERty And food At their discretion: "5" has brought this problem TO THE COUNSELOPS AND CLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE AND REPUESTED SINGLE CELL Status To Avoid this problem but prison Administrators PEFUSES TO GIVE "5" SINGLE CEll Status, OF MEPLACE "5" Stolen Properties. ON Three PHION OCASSIONS "S" WAS FORCED TO LIVE IN his CEll with At least A inch of WATER AND WASTE from other immates Toilets for AS long AS five days, until The Air From the VENT IN MY CELL dries it up. All of theSE Prison GUARDS KNOWS AND Should have known that their refusal To Act And failure to Act, And their Act. SubJects "5" To Cruel And UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT IN VIOLATION of "5" FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, EMPRACED IN the VILL AMENDMENT AND SIV. FINENIAMENTS of the CONStitution. ON THESDAY, 9/26/06 "5" WAS ESCONTED TO Evoup theraphy Conducted by Dr. Slovers during The Group "5" brought the Condition of his CEll to the Attention of Dr. Blover, AND requested that he SPEAK TO THE SEARGEANT About HAVING SOMEON CLEAN "5" CEll; HE AGNEED to do SO. ON the following day WEDNESDAY 9/27/06, WAS ESCOPTED TO SEE THE NUISE About "S" MEDICATION DEING readJusted, And, About A COLA, Stopped up NESE, CONGESTION IN "S" Chest And lunes, Chills, difficulties in breathing And Coughing up GHEEN MUCUS From HAVING TO LIVE IN A CELL FILLED With WATER, WASTE And the Smell of human feces, SEARGENT I pulito WAS TALKING to THE NOISE WHEN "5" APPIVED "S" ASKED him IF Dr. Slover had

12/04/ TAKE

Spoken with him about "5" living Conditions and the NECESSITY for SOMEONE TO CLEAN "5" CEll, And Thereafter on a regular basis. he denied having a Conversation with Dr. Slover and walked Away before "5" Could finish speaking with him and request that "5" cell be Cleaned or that "5" be moved to Another Cell. ON 11/23/06 and AGAIN ON 11/27/06 "5" Asked the 3/10 medical staff O'Kelly to do A 72.19 report on the Conditions of "5" Cell And "5" health problems caused by fithy condition of "5" Cell and "5" health problems that he would not do the report because it could be used AGAINST The Officers and Get Them in Trouble.

EACH of the herein NAMED Prison GUARDS KNEW AND Should hAVE that their Acts of MEFUSAl To Act AND FAILUNE TO ACT VIOLATES ANTIC 5 SEC. 3060 of the CAL CODE of REGULATIONS, Title 15, AND, Article 2 SECTION 3271 OF THE 15 of the CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, EACH of the HEVEIN NAMED PHISON GUARDS ACTS of refusal to Act AND failur To Act WAS dONE LINDER THE COLOR OF STATE LAW IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18 U.S.C.A. CHINE AND PUNISHMENT CHAPTER 13 CIVIL RIGHTS, EXCEP, from p. 155955. App. 10/26/05 SEC-24 AND 242 DEPRIVATION of pichts under color of law. ANY "5" pichts to be free from CHUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, U.S. CENST. AMENDMENT VILL AND "S" XIV HMENdMENT Rights of DUE PROCESS OF LAW. PER WOLFF V. MCDONNELL (1974) 539 21.5.558, 560. ALL OF THE HEVEIN NAMED ALISON GUARDS/CONFECTIONAL OFFICERS AND MEDICAL STAFF O'KELLY CONTINUES TO VIOLATE'S" FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, AND FEDERAL CIVI. RIGHTS PET 42 U.S.C.A. SECTION 1983 AND WILL CONTINUE TO do SO UNIESS ordered AND involved by A FEDERAL COURT TO CEASE AND dissest.

Memorandum

Date: Mar

March 6, 2007

To:

Inmate MENEWEATHER, D-35219

Salinas Valley State Prison

Subject: SECOND LEVEL APPEAL RESPONSE LOG NUMBER-SVSP-D-06-03893

ISSUE:

Appellant states that he is an ADA Inmate who is mobility impaired and confined to a wheelchair. Appellant claims that Salinas Valley State Prison currently has an ADA Inmate Workers Program where inmates are paid to assist ADA Inmates. Appellant claims that he doesn't have access to this program due to being housed in Administrative Segregation. Appellant claims that he has informed several staff members that he is unable to maintain the cleanliness of his cell due to his disability.

Appellant is requesting that his assigned cell be cleaned on a regular basis. Appellant requests that he be rehoused where he can have access to the Inmate ADA workers program.

REGULATIONS:

Armstrong Remedial Plan

SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION:

The First Level of Review (FLR) was completed on December 21, 2006 by J. Ippolito, Correctional Sergeant. The appellant was not interviewed at the First Level of Review. Therefore, K. Nuckles, Correctional Sergeant, interviewed the appellant on March 6, 2007 at the Second Level of Review. Correctional Lieutenant R. Boccella was assigned to investigate this appeal at the Second Level of Review. All submitted documentation and supporting arguments have been considered. Additionally, a thorough examination has been conducted regarding the claim presented, and evaluated in accordance with Salinas Valley State Prison (SVSP) Operational Procedures (OP); the California Code of Regulations (CCR); and the Departmental Operations Manual (DOM). The assigned staff member reviewed this appeal, the FLR, and the issues contained therein.

The appellant was placed in Administrative Segregation on January 6, 2007. The appellant was placed in Administrative Segregation due to his actions and the appellant is to remain in Administrative Segregation pending the completion of the disciplinary process. The Inmate Assistance Program is not available in Administrative Segregation due to security reasons. Reasonable

Inmate MENEWEATHER, D-35219 Case No. SVSP-D-06-03893 Page 2 of 2

accommodations have been made to assist the appellant with maintaining the cleanliness of the cell. In addition, correctional staff assigned to the appellant's building will clean the appellant's cell, as needed.

DECISION: The appeal is PARTIALLY GRANTED.

The appellant is advised that this issue may be submitted for a Director's Level of Review if desired.

G. NEOTTI

Chief Deputy Warden (A) Salinas Valley State Prison